

Reihe 3

Verfahrenstechnik

Dipl.-Ing. Elizabeth Heischkamp,
Mülheim a. d. Ruhr

Nr. 953

Scrubbing System Design for CO₂ Capture in Coal-Fired Power Plants

LUAT

Lehrstuhl für Umweltverfahrens-
technik und Anlagentechnik

<https://doi.org/10.51202/vdi3186953032-4>

Generiert durch IP '3.135.189.200' am '19.06.2024, 00:20:08'

Das Erstellen und Weitergeben von Kopien dieses PDFs ist nicht zulässig.

Scrubbing System Design for CO₂ Capture in Coal-Fired Power Plants

Von der Fakultät für Ingenieurwissenschaften, Abteilung Maschinenbau und Verfahrenstechnik

der

Universität Duisburg-Essen

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

einer

Doktorin der Ingenieurwissenschaften

Dr.-Ing.

genehmigte Dissertation

von

Elizabeth Heischkamp

aus

Tampico, Mexiko

Gutachter:

Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Klaus Görner

Univ.-Prof. Dr. techn. Günter Scheffknecht

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:

20.02.2017

Fortschritt-Berichte VDI

Reihe 3

Verfahrenstechnik

Dipl.-Ing. Elizabeth Heischkamp,
Mülheim a. d. Ruhr

Nr. 953

Scrubbing System Design
for CO₂ Capture in
Coal-Fired Power Plants

LUAT

Lehrstuhl für Umweltverfahrens-
technik und Anlagentechnik

Heischkamp, Elizabeth

Scrubbing System Design for CO₂ Capture in Coal-Fired Power Plants

Fortschr.-Ber. VDI Reihe 3 Nr. 953. Düsseldorf: VDI Verlag 2017.

194 Seiten, 72 Bilder, 23 Tabellen.

ISBN 978-3-18-395303-5, ISSN 0178-9503,

€ 71,00/VDI-Mitgliederpreis € 63,90.

Keywords: CO₂ capture – chemical absorption – post-combustion – CCS – simulation – absorber intercooling

Within the last decades a continuous tightening of environmental regulations has been observed in several countries around the world. These include restriction of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, since they are considered responsible for intensifying global warming. Coal-fired power plants represent a good possibility for capturing CO₂ before it is emitted in the atmosphere, thereby contributing to combat global warming. This work focuses on reducing the CO₂ emissions of such a power plant by 90 %. For this purpose a hard coal power plant is retrofitted with a chemical absorption using different solutions of piperazine promoted potassium carbonate. The resulting power plant's efficiency losses have been accounted for. A comparison of different scenarios such as the variation of operating parameters offer an insight in detecting suitable operating conditions that will allow to minimize efficiency penalties. Simulation details are provided along with a technical and an economic analysis.

Bibliographische Information der Deutschen Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliographie; detaillierte bibliographische Daten sind im Internet unter <http://dnb.ddb.de> abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Bibliothek

(German National Library)

The Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie (German National Bibliography); detailed bibliographic data is available via Internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.

Von der Fakultät für Ingenieurwissenschaften,
Abteilung Maschinenbau und Verfahrenstechnik
der Universität Duisburg-Essen
genehmigte Dissertation

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Klaus Görner
Prof. Dr. techn. Günter Scheffknecht

Datum der mündlichen Prüfung:
20.02.2017

© VDI Verlag GmbH · Düsseldorf 2017

Alle Rechte, auch das des auszugsweisen Nachdruckes, der auszugsweisen oder vollständigen Wiedergabe (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie), der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, im Internet und das der Übersetzung, vorbehalten.

Als Manuskript gedruckt. Printed in Germany.

ISSN 0178-9503

ISBN 978-3-18-395303-5

<https://doi.org/10.51202/9783186953032-1>

Generiert durch IP '3.135.189.200', am 19.06.2024, 00:20:08.

Das Erstellen und Weitergeben von Kopien dieses PDFs ist nicht zulässig.

Acknowledgements

This work was developed while I was a member of the research staff at the Chair for Environmental Process Engineering and Plant Design (LUAT from the name in German) in the Faculty of Engineering, Department of Mechanical and Process Engineering at the University of Duisburg-Essen.

At this point, I would like to express my gratitude to many people who have supported me directly or indirectly in the completion of this dissertation. Special thanks go to my doctoral supervisor Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. K. Görner, who encouraged me to do research in the field of advanced power plants and carbon capture technologies and who always supported me in pursuing my goals and interests. His unwavering support, patience, as well as the trust he granted me, have been a crucial contribution for this work's completion. I would like to thank Prof. Dr. techn. G. Scheffknecht for taking over the task of second referee and for his interest in my work. Prof. Dr. rer. nat. B. Atakan and Prof. Dr.-Ing. D. Bathen, thank you for your participation in the examination committee.

I would also like to thank current and former LUAT employees and students, who helped me in the creation of this work. I especially would like to thank my adviser Dr.-Ing. G. Oeljeklaus, to whom I am most grateful. His continuous contributions have had a great impact in my development as a researcher and as a person. Doing research with him was a real pleasure. Dr. rer. nat. P. Behr, thank you for having read my drafts so many times and for being able to explain chemical phenomena so easily. For all those real "Big Bang Theory" moments I would like to thank Dr.-Ing. H. Yilmaz, Dr.-Ing. Ö. Korkmaz, Dr.-Ing. T. Klasen, M. Sc. S. Perumalsamy, M. Sc. A. Al-Zuhairi, Dr.-Ing. S. Multhaupt, Dr.-Ing. T. Vogel, M. Sc. L. Woetki and Dipl.-Ing. M. Varlik.

I am deeply grateful to my Mexican family: my parents, Roberto and Esther, and my sister, Ingrid, for their ongoing support of any kind and their immeasurable source of motivation. This also applies to my German family, including my husband Peter and my kids Natascha and Ben. Thank you so much for supporting me, even if it often meant that you had to be left out for a while. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my sister Lilia. Words can hardly describe how thankful I am to her. Indeed, she went beyond the duties of a big sister by reading all of my drafts and discussing them with me countless times to assist me in the editing of

this work in what must have felt like forever. Thank you Lilia, I will be eternally grateful.

Mülheim an der Ruhr, 2017

Elizabeth Heischkamp

Index

Acknowledgements	III
Acronyms and abbreviations	VII
Nomenclature	IX
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research motivation.....	1
1.2 Analysis procedure	2
1.3 Electricity generation with coal	4
1.3.1 Coal-fired power plants – State of the art technology	4
1.3.1.1 Reference Power Plant	5
1.3.2 CO ₂ reduction alternatives in coal-fired power plants	8
1.3.2.1 Pre-Combustion Capture	10
1.3.2.2 Oxy-Fuel Combustion	10
1.3.2.3 Post-Combustion Capture.....	11
1.3.3 CO ₂ capture technologies	12
1.3.3.1 Adsorption	12
1.3.3.2 Cryogenics	13
1.3.3.3 Membranes	13
1.3.3.4 Microbial/Algal Systems	14
1.3.3.5 Carbonate Looping.....	14
1.3.3.6 Absorption	15
1.3.4 Alternative options for CO ₂ emission reduction.....	15
2 Downstream scrubbing of flue gases	18
2.1 Solvents in chemical absorption.....	19
2.1.1 Organic solvents.....	20
2.1.1.1 Alkanolamines.....	20
2.1.1.2 Amino-acid salts.....	23
2.1.1.3 Cyclic amines	24
2.1.2 Inorganic solvents	26
2.1.2.1 Ammonia	26
2.1.2.2 Sodium carbonate	27
2.1.2.3 Potassium carbonate	28
2.1.3 Blends	29
3 Modelling of CO₂ capture systems	31
3.1 Definitions and data on chemical media.....	31
3.1.1 CO ₂ loading	31
3.1.2 Monoethanolamine	33

3.1.3	Piperazine promoted potassium carbonate.....	35
3.2	System boundary and implementation.....	39
3.2.1	Boundary conditions.....	40
3.2.1.1	Flue gas conditioning.....	43
3.2.1.2	Baseline case MEA.....	46
3.2.1.3	Study cases with piperazine promoted potassium carbonate.....	47
3.2.2	General methodology and specifications in Aspen Plus.....	48
3.2.2.1	Flue gas conditioning system.....	49
3.2.2.2	Absorber.....	52
3.2.2.3	Heat exchanger and stripper system.....	63
4	Technical analysis.....	70
4.1	Important packed columns facts and considerations.....	70
4.2	Flue gas preconditioning.....	75
4.3	Scrubbing process.....	86
4.3.1	Solvent flow rate.....	86
4.3.2	Absorber.....	87
4.3.3	Reboiler duty.....	90
4.3.4	Lean-rich heat exchanger.....	95
4.3.5	Cooling duty.....	98
4.3.6	Absorber intercooling.....	105
4.4	Column geometry.....	122
4.5	Integration into the power plant.....	126
4.5.1	Thermal requirement.....	127
4.5.1.1	Steam tapping.....	127
4.5.1.2	Cooling demand.....	135
4.5.2	Auxiliary power.....	136
5	Analysis of economic feasibility.....	143
5.1	Basis of calculations and boundary conditions.....	143
5.2	Discussion of results.....	146
6	Summary.....	163
A	Appendixes.....	168
A.1	Detailed compilation of flue gas conditioning simulation results.....	168
	List of references.....	171

Acronyms and abbreviations

AHPC	Activated Hot Potassium Carbonate
aMDEA	Activated Methyl Diethanolamine
AMP	2-Amino-2-Methyl-1-Propanol
ASU	Air Separation Unit
CaL	Calcium Looping
CAP	Chilled Ammonia Process
CAPEX	Capital EXpenditure
CLC	Chemical Looping Combustion
DCC	Direct Contact Cooler
DEA	Diethanolamine
DIPA	Diisopropanolamine
EPC	Engineering, Procurement, and Construction costs
ESA	Electric Swing Adsorption
EUA	European Emission Allowance
FG	Flue Gas
FGD	Flue Gas Desulphurisation
GDPC	Generalized Pressure Drop Correlation
GTCC	Gas Turbine Combined Cycle
GWP	Global Warming Potential
HETP	Height Equivalent to a Theoretical Plate
HP	High Pressure
HPC	Hot Potassium Carbonate
IEA	International Energy Agency
IEA GHG	IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme
IGCC	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle
IMTP	Intalox Metal Tower Packing
IP	Intermediate Pressure
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPFO	Interface-Pseudo-First-Order
L/G ratio	Liquid to Gas ratio
LCOE	Levelised Cost of Electricity
LHV	Lower Heating Value
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
LP	Low Pressure
MAPA	Methyl Amino Propylamine

MDEA	Methyl diethanolamine (also known as N-methyl diethanolamine)
MEA	Monoethanolamine
NRTL	Non-Random Two-Liquid
OPEX	OPerational EXpenditure
PIP	Piperidine
PSA	Pressure Swing Absorption or Adsorption (as the case may be)
PYR	Pyrrolidine
PZ	Piperazine
RPP NRW	Reference Power Plant NRW
TA-Luft	Technische Anleitung zur Reinhaltung der Luft – Technical Instructions on Air Quality Control
TEA	Triethanolamine
TSA	Temperature Swing Adsorption
UOM	Unit Operation Model
VLE	Vapour-Liquid Equilibrium
Wol	Working Investment
ZEP	Zero Emissions Platform
ZEP PP	Zero Emissions Platform reference Power Plant

Nomenclature

A	$[\text{m}^2]$	Heat transfer area
a	$[-]$	Annuity factor
A_T	$[\text{m}^2]$	Column cross-sectional area
b	$[\text{mol}/\text{kg}]$ also $[m]$	Molality
c	$[\text{mol}/\text{L}]$ also $[M]$	Molarity
CaI_{fixed}	$[\text{M€}]$	Fixed capital investment
$CAPEX$	$[\text{€}/\text{MW}]$	Capital expenditure
C_{av,CO_2}	$[\text{€}/\text{t}_{CO_2}]$	CO ₂ avoidance cost
C_{fuel}	$[\text{€}/\text{MWh}]$	Levelised fuel cost
$Column_i$	$[\text{M€}]$	Price of selected reference column
$Compressor$	$[\text{M€}]$	CO ₂ compressor investment
CP	$[(\text{m}/\text{s})(\text{m}^{-1})^{0.5}(\text{m}^2/\text{s})^{0.5}]$	Capacity factor (packed towers)
C_s	$[\text{m}/\text{s}]$	C-factor based on tower superficial cross-sectional area
d	$[\text{m}]$	Column diameter
DC_{total}	$[\text{M€}]$	Total direct cost
E	$[\text{kJ}/\text{kmol}]$	Activation energy
e_b	$[\text{t}_{CO_2}/\text{MWh}]$	Specific CO ₂ emission factor for hard coal
$e_{CO_2,CC}$	$[\text{t}_{CO_2}/\text{MWh}]$	Specific CO ₂ emissions of RPP NRW with carbon capture
$e_{CO_2,ref}$	$[\text{t}_{CO_2}/\text{MWh}]$	Specific CO ₂ emissions of RPP NRW
F	$[\text{m}/\text{s}(\text{kg}/\text{m}^3)^{0.5}]$	F-factor for gas loading
F_{LG}	$[-/-]$	Flow parameter
F_p	$[\text{m}^{-1}]$	Packing factor
F_p	$[\text{m}^{-1}]$	Packing factor
G	$[\text{kg}/(\text{s}\cdot\text{m}^2)]$	Gas phase mass velocity
h	$[\text{h}]$	Operating hours
h	$[\text{m}]$	Packing height

H_u	[kJ/kg]	Lower heating value
i	[mol/L]	species i concentration
IC_{total}	[M€]	Total indirect cost
$ISBL$	[M€]	Inside the battery limits
k	[mol/(l·s)]	Pre-exponential factor (independent of temperature)
k	[W/m ² K]	Heat transfer coefficient
k_a	[-]	Activity-based rate constant
k_c	[-]	Concentration-based rate constant
L	[kg/(s·m ²)]	Liquid mass velocity
L/G	[l/m ³]	Liquid to gas ratio
$LCOE_{CC}$	[€/MWh]	Cost of electricity with carbon capture
$LCOE_i$	[€/MWh]	Levelised cost of electricity
$LCOE_{ref}$	[€/MWh]	RPP NRW's levelised cost of electricity
\dot{m}_{CO_2}	[kg/s]	CO ₂ mass flow rate
\dot{m}_{fuel}	[kg/s]	Fuel mass flow rate
n	[-]	Temperature exponent
N_i	[kmol/(m ² s)]	species i molar flux
$OPEX$	[€]	Operational expenditure
$OSBL$	[M€]	Outside the battery limits
P	[W]	Power
$P_{compression}$	[kW]	Electric demand by compression
p_{des}	[bar]	Desorber pressure (gauge)
$P_{expansion}$	[kW]	Electric demand by expansion
P_i	[MW]	Power plant net output
p_{ref}	[bar]	Reference pressure
\dot{Q}	[W]	Heat duty, heat transfer capacity (heat exchanger)
r	[mol/(l·s)]	Reaction's rate
r	[-]	Interest rate

R_{CC}	[%]	CO ₂ capture rate (0% < R < 100%)
R_{CO_2}	[kJ/kg·K]	CO ₂ gas constant
$Solvent$	[M€]	Solvent cost
$Startup$	[M€]	Start-up cost
T	[K]	Reference temperature (298.15K)
T	[-]	Plant life
T_{amb}	[bar]	Ambient temperature
u_s	[m/s]	Superficial gas velocity
\dot{V}_G	[m ³ /s]	Gas rate
V_{new}	[m ³]	New column's packing volume
V_{ref}	[m ³]	Reference column's packing volume (MEA Case I)
w_{comp}	[kJ/kg]	Specific compressor work
x_i	[-]	species i fraction of reactant
x_i	[-]	reactant species i mole fraction
x_i	[kmol/kmol]	species i liquid phase mole fraction
y_i	[kmol/kmol]	species i gas phase mole fraction

Greek symbols

α	[mol _{acid gas} /mol _{alkalinity}]	Loading
α_i	[-]	species i reaction order
α_{lean}	[mol _{CO₂} /mol _{alkalinity}]	Lean loading
α_{rich}	[mol _{CO₂} /mol _{alkalinity}]	Rich loading
δ	[m]	film thickness
ρ_G	[kg/m ³]	Gas density
ρ_L	[kg/m ³]	Liquid density
$\Delta\theta$	[K]	Temperature difference
$\Delta\eta$	[% points]	Efficiency losses due to CCS
γ	[-]	activity coefficient

η	[-]	Efficiency
η_i	[-]	Power plant net efficiency
η_{is}	[-]	Isentropic efficiency
η_{ref}	[%]	RPP NRW's net efficiency
ν	[m ² /s]	Kinematic viscosity of liquid

Subscripts

<i>el</i>	electric
<i>flood</i>	at flood
<i>i</i>	RPP NRW or carbon capture (CC)
<i>PZ</i>	Piperazine

Superscripts

<i>B</i>	bulk
<i>gross</i>	gross value
<i>G</i>	gas
<i>i</i>	species i
<i>I</i>	interface
<i>L</i>	liquid
<i>net</i>	net value
<i>ref</i>	reference